UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,979	02/27/2004	Wolfgang Aderhold	008304	6862
	7590 04/01/201 S OF CHARLES GUEI	EXAMINER		
ATTN: APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			PAIK, SANG YEOP	
2211 PARK BOULEVARD P.O. BOX 60729		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			3742	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,979	ADERHOLD ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SANG Y. PAIK	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 D</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,13-15 and 30-32 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,13-15 and 30-32 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Cur	nmary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/788,979 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the amendment filed on 12/20/10, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY

REOPENED. A new ground of rejection set forth below.

2. To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the

following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply

under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed

by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and

appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth

in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant

must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by

signing the office action.

/TU B HOANG/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742

/KAREN M. YOUNG/

Director, Technology Center 3700

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3742

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 13-15 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moslehi (US 4,891,499) in view of Ballance et al (US 6,090,210) or Anderson et al (US 6,113,703).

Moslehi '499 shows the method and the apparatus claimed including a radiant heat source (24) for thermal processing of a substrate/wafer (28) with its front side/device side (28) face down in a reaction chamber (12) wherein the front side of the substrate is monitored by photo detectors (43 and 45) that measure temperatures at a plurality of radial positions relative to a center of the substrate as shown by the portions (39, 41) as shown in Figure 2). Moslehi '499 further shows a annular ring/shelf (46) with pins (56) having a slope for supporting and extending under the substrate around its center wherein the ring with the pins extends or overlaps no further than an edge of the front side of the substrate (also see Figures 2 and 3, and column 6, lines 57-61). But, Moslehi '499 does not explicitly show the pyrometrical monitoring.

Ballance shows a thermal processing apparatus with a radiant source, an annular ring (18) for holding a substrate wafer with an edge which extends or overlaps an edge of the substrate, a chamber (12) for holding the substrate therein, the chamber is further shown with a reflector (28) parallel to the substrate and a pyrometer (34) for measuring temperatures at a plurality of radial positions relative to the center of the substrate. Anderson also shows that it is well known in the art to provide a thermal processing apparatus for a substrate disposed in a chamber wherein the temperatures

of the substrate are measured by pyrometers (24, 22) that face both the front and back side of the substrate.

In view of Ballance or Anderson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Moslehi '499 with pyrometers to measure the temperatures of the wafer substrate as an alternative means that can also effectively measure the temperature distributions along the plurality of positions of the substrate. Furthermore, as Ballance shows the reflector in the reactor chamber, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further adapt Moslehi '499 with a reflector in the reactor (12) to allow more even heat distribution in the chamber for thermally processing and heating the substrate disposed therein.

With respect to claim 4, while the recited edge exclusion zone of no more than 3 mm is shown by Moslehi, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to limit such zone within the recited range or any other suitable range as a matter of a routine experimentation to provide the supporting holding means with only a minimum extension that would adequately and sufficiently support the substrate without much overlapping or blocking the thermal processing of the working surface of the substrate.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The Board of the Patent Appeals and Interferences has issued a decision on 6/28/201 reversing the examiner's position regarding claims 1-5, 7-9, 13-15 and 30-32

Application/Control Number: 10/788,979 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

as failing to meet the burden requisite for a conclusion of obviousness. A new ground of rejection is set forth to meet the prima facie case of obviousness as stated in the ground of rejection.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SANG Y PAIK/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

Application/Control Number: 10/788,979

Page 6

Art Unit: 3742